

## UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTOF	RNEY DOCKET NO.
09/095,	683 067	10/98	WONG	S	6366.05.01

HM22/0202 -

EXAMINER LEARY, L

STEVEN F WEINSTOCK ABBOTT LABORATORIES D-377 AP6D 100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD ABBOTT PARK IL 60064-3500

11

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

02/02/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Office Action Summary

\_\_\_\_\_

 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Louise Leary

Group Art Unit 1623

Wong et al



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 10, 1998	·					
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exclonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to repplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 7 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the					
isposition of Claims						
	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s)						
X Claim(s) 1-34						
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers  X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	<b>V</b>					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	`					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	er)					
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the In						
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority						
Attachment(s)  X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)  Interview Summary, PTO-413  X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	E FOLLOWING PAGES					

Application/Control Number: 09/095683

Art Unit: 1623

k its "

- 1. Claims 1-34 are pending in this application.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of undue length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/095683 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-34 are indefinite because the phrase "suitable for the use in" does not state that the articles claimed are actually used for determining the presence of the amount of analyte in a biological sample. Rather, the phrase "suitable for the use in" implies the articles claimed may be used for determining the presence of the amount of analyte in a biological sample which does not particularly point out or distinctly claim the subject matter regarded as the invention.

Claims 3-6 recites the limitation "at least one reagent" in line 1 of each claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 1623

- 6. Claims 1-34 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 7. The Douglas, Turpen and Regnier et al patent have been cited to further show the state of this art.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Louise Leary at telephone number (703) 308-3533.

LOUISE N. LEARY PRIMARY EXAMINER

January 29, 1999